

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

07/716,004 06/17/91 HOEK	MAN	E	47241USA1A
	Г	LEFRYWYST	, =
	6M1/0713		
WILLIAM B. BARTE 3M OFC. OF INTELLECTUAL PROP	PROP. COUNSEL	ART UNIT PAP	ER NUMBER
P. O. BOX 33427		2417	9
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		2617 DATE MAILED:	
Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in	- share of this ennion	tion	07/13/93
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS			
ADVISORY ACTION			
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	<u>.</u>		
a) is extunded to run or continues to ru	n 3 mar/hs to	om the date of the final rejection	un.
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriato fee. The date on which the response, the petition , and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 7-6-93 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:			
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. 			
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).			
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).			
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: Claim 1 line 9, "last of a said vehicle" world require for ther			
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.			
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 💆 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:			
Claims allowed:			
Claims objected to:			
However;			
Applicant's response has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. The attidant exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Kozzacz 314 10 The IRESANCE on-de, calculates The line of fer emiting (onto col. 5 lines 51-54) To allegate The destrother is the accorded describes of audities (out to 1.3 lines 18 - 129).			
The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been	approved by the examine	ar. John (1
Other		JOHN K. F	ENG
Edward Leftswitz		SUPERVISORY PATE	ENT EXAMINER
703-305-48/6 PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)		GROUP 2	600